

RECEIVED

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Sheet 1 of 9

1/11/02

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

CS	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Torpharm's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,113,944
CT	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Torpharm's Memorandum of Law In Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent 6,113,944
CU	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibits to Torpharm's Memorandum of Law In Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,113,944 - INDEX
CV	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 1 – U.S. Patent 6,113,944
CW	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 2 – Complaint dated 8/26/99 in Civil Action 99-4304
CX	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 3 – Complaint dated 9/27/00 in Civil Action 00-4888
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED  
TEC CENTER 1600/2900  
Sheet 2 of 9  
2/19/2002

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

#### OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

CY	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 4 – Complaint dated 5/2/01 in Civil Action 01-2169
CZ	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 5 – U.S. Patent 4,007,196
CAA	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 6 – U.S. Patent 4,721,723
CBB	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 7 – Paroxetine Tablets – Ex Ferrosan Report (SB02001-007710 DX618), dated 4/3/80
CCC	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 8 – Paroxetine Strategy Meeting Minutes (SB02001-412721-412730 DX622), dated 9/9/80
CDD	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 9 – Paroxetine Development Meeting Minutes (SB06001-002857-002865, 002867-002872 DX623), dated 10/8/80
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

Sheet 3 of 9

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP
ENTER 1600/2900			

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

CEE	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 10 – The Synthesis of Paroxetine Hydrochloride Report (SB02001-086316-086341 DX66), dated January 14, 1981
CFF	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 11 – U.S. Patent 4,745,122
CGG	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 12 - SmithKline's Response to Torpharm's Local Rule 56.1(a)(3) Statement of Material Facts dated January 9, 2001
CHH	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 13 – File History For Serial No. 08/676,381
CII	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 14 – U.S. Patent 5,047,246
CJJ	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 15 – Transcript of Ram D. Pathak dated September 26, 2001

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

Sheet 4 of 9

TECH CENTER 1600/2900  
11/19/2002  
1600/2900

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

CKK	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 16 – <u>Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Tablets, Vol. 1, pages 64 and 148 (1980)</u>
CLL	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 17 – International Application WO 92/09281
CMM	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 18 – U.S. Patent 6,113,944 Prosecution History
CNN	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 19 - U.S. Patent 6,113,944 Certificate of Correction dated September 6, 2000
COO	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 20 – Decision in Canadian Litigation, SmithKline v. Apotex, dated July 6, 2001
CPP	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 21 – U.S. Patent 4,804,669
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

Sheet 5 of 9

TECH CENTER 1600

1-19-2002

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

CQQ	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 22 – Paxil® Package Insert, Physicians' Desk Reference, 48th Edition, pages 2267 – 2270 (1994)
CRR	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 23 – U.S. Patent 5,340,810
CSS	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 24 – <u>The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy</u> , pages 305, 318, 319 and 320
CTT	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 25 – U.S. Patent 5,071,854
CUU	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 26 – U.S. Patent 5,229,407
CVV	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 27 – U.S. Patent 6,077,535
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	

RECEIVED

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

APR 19 2002  
CENTER 1600/2900**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

CWW	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania - Order
CXX	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania - SmithKline Beecham Corporation and SmithKline Beecham plc's Memorandum In Opposition to Torpharm's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent 6,113,944
CYY	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania - SmithKline Beecham Corporation and SmithKline Beecham plc's Memorandum In Opposition to Torpharm's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent 6,113,944 - INDEX
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

TELE FAX CENTER 1600/2800  
Sheet 7 of 10  
APR 19 2002

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

CZZ	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 1 – Affidavit of Richard Killworth, and Exhibits A-B Attached Thereto (Exhibit A – Richard Killworth's Curriculum Vitae; Exhibit B – Manual of Patent Examining Procedures, pages 700-19 to 700-21; 1800-82 to 1800-83; 1800-145; 2100-68 to 2100-112; and 2100-194)
CAAA	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 2 – Affidavit of Dr. Christopher T. Rhodes and Exhibits A-I Attached Thereto (Exhibit A – Christopher Rhodes' Curriculum Vitae; Exhibit B – <u>Drug Stability Principles and Practices</u> , Vol. 107, page 6 and page 113; Exhibit C – <u>Pharmaceutics of Solids and Solid Dosage Forms</u> , pages 147, 148, 149 and 161; Exhibit D – <u>Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms</u> , Volume I, pages 195-199; Exhibit E – <u>Canadian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences</u> , Vol. 8, No. 1, pages 1-5 (1973); Exhibit F – <u>Modern Pharmaceutics</u> , Second Edition, page 372; Exhibit G – European Patent Application 0 188 081; Exhibit H – European Patent Application 0 269 303; Exhibit I – <u>Pharmaceutical Development and Technology</u> , 7(1), pages 1-32 (2002))
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900  
AUG 19 2002  
2002

Sheet 18 of 9

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

CBBB	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 3 – Affidavit of Dr. Robin Roman and Exhibits A-D Attached Thereto (Exhibit A – Robin Roman's Curriculum Vitae; Exhibit B – SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals Department Report No. 93GBPT03_1; Exhibit C – Memo to D. J. White from D. G. Doughty regarding Paroxetine Pink Tablets, dated January 18, 1993; Exhibit D – Memo to D. Monkhouse from R. Roman regarding Paroxetine 20 mg Tablets Batch G91027, dated November 19, 1991)
CCCC	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 4 – Affidavit of James Mills and Exhibit Attached Thereto (Notice of Allegation to SmithKline Beecham Pharma from Apotex, Inc. dated March 1, 1999)
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b> <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	GROUP
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	

RECEIVED

SEARCH CENTER 1600/2000

APR 19 2002

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes      No

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

CDDD	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 5 – Affidavit of Sanya Sukduang and Exhibits A-G Attached Thereto (Exhibit A – In Civil Action No. 1:00 CV 01179 a Joint Stipulated Protective Order Pursuant to Rule 26c, dated May 18, 2001; Exhibit B – Letter from Kenneth Curtis to Mark Waddell re: SB et al. v. Synthon Pharmaceuticals Ltd, et al., dated March 15, 2002; Exhibit C – In U.S. District Court in the Southern District of New York – Subpoena in a Civil Case dated 3/13/02; Exhibit D – Letter to Kenneth Curtis from Mark Waddell re: SB et al v. Synthon Pharmaceuticals Ltd, et al., dated March 25, 2002; Exhibit E – In U.S. District Court in the Southern District of New York – Chadbourne and Parke LLP's Objections to Subpoena, dated March 25, 2002; Exhibit F – Letter from Kenneth Curtis to Mark Waddell re: SB et al. v. Apotex Corporation et al., dated March 29, 2002; Exhibit G – In U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina – Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend Joint Stipulated Protective Order Pursuant to Rule 26(c), dated April 5, 2002)
CEEE	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 6 – Copy of the Northern District of Illinois Memorandum and Order Dated January 14, 2002, in SmithKline Beecham Corporations v. Pentech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Civil Action No. 00C2855

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.